RESOLUTION OPPOSING UH SYSTEM COURSE EVALUATION SYSTEM

WHEREAS, The UH System President announced on March 8, 2016 the mandatory implementation of the UH System’s “Course Evaluation System (CES)” with automatic inclusion of 100% of credit courses and no option to opt out; and

WHEREAS, the announcement establishes the expectation that CES will be used for “assessment of program effectiveness” and assessment of teaching, stating that it will provide feedback “faculty can use to inform their teaching practices, to evaluate new teaching methods and techniques, and to demonstrate teaching effectiveness;” and

WHEREAS, Board of Regents Executive policy 9.203 clearly delineates the locus of control for faculty evaluation at campus-level, noting “Faculty review procedures will be developed and maintained by the University Chancellors for their respective campuses…”; and

WHEREAS, BOR Policy 1.210 assigns duly authorized campus faculty organizations the “responsibility to speak for the faculty on academic policy matters such as… 1. the initiation, review, and evaluation of … instructional, and academic programs;..4. evaluation of faculty…;” and

WHEREAS, the Mānoa Faculty Senate is the duly authorized faculty organization holding the responsibility to speak for faculty on evaluation of both academic programs and faculty; and

WHEREAS, there is a lack of clarity as to how the CES will be used, for individual faculty improvement, faculty evaluation, program review, or student learning assessment, and how different levels of questions will be used and by whom; and

WHEREAS, there is a difference in framing evaluative questions appropriate for program review and those appropriate for evaluating the quality of teaching; and

WHEREAS, it is unclear as to whether significant research-based concerns related to course evaluations and biases related to protected classes were considered when crafting this tool and accompanying policy; and

WHEREAS, the memo states that implementation of the CES is to begin in Fall 2016, while the faculty were only made aware of the CES initiative in March 2016 and no formal consultation with Mānoa Faculty Senate has yet occurred;
THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Mānoa Faculty Senate opposes the implementation of “New UH Course Evaluation System” (CES) until such time as appropriate faculty governance consultation occurs per BOR policy 1.210; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that prior to development of questions the purpose or purposes of the instrument must be clarified with faculty input; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that control of the design of any such instrument and its manner of administration should be done collaboratively between faculty and campus level administration and not involve system representation or ITS; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Mānoa Faculty Senate requests that authority for policies and procedures delegated to campus level under the Chancellor’s authority remain free of system level intrusion.
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