CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order at 3:12 PM with a quorum.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES: The minutes from April 1, 2009, were accepted as presented.

CAMPUS REPORT:

The Senate reviewed the changes made by Kathy Hill to the Institutional Learning Outcomes (View Document). Senator Kamahele noted that the Institutional Learning Outcomes do not make a connection to native Hawaiians—as noted in the current mission statement—and suggested that they should do so. This suggestion will be passed on to Kathy Hill. However, due to the expediency of passing the Institutional Learning Outcomes, the following motion was made:

Motion 09-14 (Levy/Martel): To accept the Institutional Learning Outcomes
Chair Currivan reported that no Senate vote is necessary for the Campus Council to change its constitution and by-laws: the EPC was never officially voted on by the Campus Council, although there is a record in the minutes that the Faculty Senate voted to approve it when then-Chancellor Quigley brought the recommendation to both governing bodies as part of the Phase II reorganization. As recommended by Kathy Hill (DPPA)—due to an overlap in function and membership—the Campus Council voted to assign to itself the responsibilities of the EPC and will be making the final Program Review recommendations to the Chancellor.

Chair Currivan shared the results from the Faculty Senate Survey: (View Results). Chair Currivan suggested paying special attention to questions 1, 3, 5 and 12. As a consequence of the results, the following suggestions were made: 1. The faculty should be reminded of the survey results before the Senate election in the fall. 2. Senators need to be more effective conduits to their divisions. Chair Currivan will write up an analysis of the results for Senate review at the May 13th meeting. The Senate decided that the results and the analysis would be sent to faculty in the fall.

Chair Currivan asked senators to consider who should be responsible for the evaluation of governance structures: should there be a standing committee or an ad hoc committee? Where should the responsibilities be placed?

COMMITTEE REPORTS

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Chair's Report: View Report

ACADEMIC/INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT COMMITTEE: View Report

BUDGET & PLANNING:

The committee invited Vice Chancellor Mark Lane to the Senate meeting to answer questions related to administrative expenses. He distributed a five-year analysis of FTE data and salaries for executive positions (View Document): Several questions arose relating to this report: 1. Is there a pie chart showing how much is going to the administration versus the faculty? 2. Why are positions being created, such as administrative secretaries, when the approved organizational chart does not list those positions? (Vice Chancellor Lane addressed
this issue: according to him, the agreement with the bargaining units stipulated clerical support for executive positions.) 3. Why is a current organizational chart not available, and why are changes being made to the organizational chart if it has not gone through the various shared governance groups on campus?

In relation to the committee's request for who has been receiving travel grants, Vice Chancellor Lane suggested that the committee contact Cindy Martin.

After Vice Chancellor Lane's report, several senators discussed the ultimate goal of the information the Senate was requesting: basically, the Senate is concerned about the lack of transparency relating to certain administrative budgetary costs.

CURRICULUM COMMITTEE: (View Report)

Motion 09-15: To accept the following course deletion proposal based on the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee: DNCE 150

PASSED - Unanimously

Motion 09-16: To accept the following course modification proposals based on the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee: ESL 8B, ESL 9B, MATH 1B, MATH 22, MATH 50H and ZOOL 240

PASSED - Unanimously

Motion 09-17: To accept the following course modification proposals based on the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee: AMT 30, AMT 55, BUSN 284, IS 250H, MATH 83, MATH 203, MATH 231

PASSED - Unanimously

Motion 09-18: To accept the following new course proposals based on the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee: HWST 105 and PAC 108
PASSED - Unanimously

Motion 09-19: To accept the following program modification proposals based on the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee: AAS Supervisory Management and Certificate of Achievement in Accounting

PASSED - 18 Approved, 1 Abstained

Motion 09-20: To accept the following new program proposals based on the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee: Certificate of Completion in Administrative Support and the Certificate of Competence in Web Programming

PASSED - Unanimously

Motion 09-21: To accept the following course modification proposals based on the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee: ED 286 and ED 287

PASSED - Unanimously

Due to a glitch in Curriculum Central, the following two proposals have been approved by the Curriculum Committee, but the recommended changes have not been entered into Curriculum Central.

Motion 09-22: To accept the following new course proposal contingent upon final changes being made in Curriculum Central and approved by the Curriculum Committee Chair: FAMR 230

PASSED - Unanimously
Motion 09-23: To accept the following course modification proposal contingent upon final changes being made in Curriculum Central and approved by the Curriculum Committee Chair: ASAN 204

PASSED - Unanimously

ACADEMIC REVIEW BOARD: Senator Levy requested feedback relating to the COMPASS Testing memo she sent out to the faculty.

ELECTIONS COMMITTEE: No report

FACULTY COMMITTEE: No report

GEN ED COMMITTEE: View Report

HAP Board: View Report

In addition, Senator Kamahele and others voiced concerns relating to the premature marketing of the Academic Subject Certificate in Plant Bioscience Technology. The Executive Council of Na 'Ewa Council sent a letter to the administration requesting more information about the academic subject certificate (View the letter and the proposed requirements for the certificate and view the flyer for the certificate). The Senate raised strong concerns relating to the premature marketing and promoting of this certificate, especially since it lists a start date of fall 2009: the certificate has not gone through the Curriculum Committee and many of the courses listed in the requirements for the certificate are not even approved courses. The issue will be readdressed at the next Senate meeting.

LEGISLATIVE RELATIONS COMMITTEE: View Report

PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE: No report

STUDENT COMMITTEE: Based on the recommendations of the most recent SAG committee, Senator Flegal suggested that each faculty member clearly specify his or her policy related to attendance, especially those days after the start of the semester but before the end of the late registration period, in the course syllabi. In an effort to fine tune the procedure for student grievances, the committee has made some revisions to the SAG procedure, and after it has been sent to the division chairs for their feedback, the Senate will vote on the revised document at the May 13, 2009, meeting. (View Revised Document)
AD HOC COMMITTEES
CONTINGENT FACULTY/LECTURERS: No Report

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

NEW BUSINESS: Chair Currivan mentioned that Eileen Cain wants the sustainability initiative to be under the Senate as opposed to the administration.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 5:30 PM.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Michael Nester, Secretary